

1
2 **FILED & ENTERED**
3
4
5

6
7 **JAN 29 2016**
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LOS ANGELES DIVISION

In re: Case No. 2:10-bk-57493-RK

SHAPPHIRE RESOURCES, LLC, Chapter 11

Debtor.

Adv. No. 2:12-ap-02532-RK

SHAPPHIRE RESOURCES, LLC,
a Utah limited liability company,

**ORDER SETTING POST-JUDGMENT
STATUS CONFERENCE TO ADDRESS
PROCEDURE FOR ENFORCING
JUDGMENT FOR TURNOVER OF REAL
PROPERTY AND FOR DECLARATORY
RELIEF**

Plaintiff,

vs.

STANLEY TAMBINGON, an Individual,

**POST-JUDGMENT STATUS
CONFERENCE:**

Date: March 1, 2016

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 1675
255 East Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Defendant.

The proposed Judgment for Turnover of Real Property of the Estate and for Declaratory Relief, lodged by Plaintiff Shapphire Resources, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Shapphire Resources”), by its counsel, Raymond H. Aver, Law Offices of Raymond H. Aver, with the court on January 28, 2016, provides that the judgment direct the use of the United States Marshals Service in the first instance to forcibly remove Defendant Stanley Tambingon (“Defendant” or “Mr. Tambingon”) from the subject Property.

1 In considering this provision, the court notes that Local Bankruptcy Rule 7069-1
2 provides as follows:

3 **(a) Use of United States Marshal is Discouraged.** The court encourages
4 the use of state remedies and officers wherever appropriate to enforce
5 judgments or obtain available remedies. The United States Marshals
6 Service is available to enforce federal judgments as necessary, which may
7 require an application filed under LBR 9013-1(q) that demonstrates cause
8 for using the United States Marshals Service.

9 Local Bankruptcy Rule 7069-1(a) (bolding in original). As indicated by this rule, the use
10 of the Marshals Service to enforce a federal judgment is discouraged and thus is
11 generally not a matter of first resort.

12 Use of the United States Marshals Service to enforce the judgment in this
13 adversary proceeding may be considered upon a showing of cause pursuant to an
14 application for a writ of possession under Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(q), which
15 Plaintiff has not filed. In this regard, the court also notes that Federal Rule of Bankruptcy
16 Procedure 7070 makes Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 70 applicable to this adversary
17 proceeding, which states:

18 **(a) Party's Failure to Act; Ordering Another to Act.** If a judgment
19 requires a party to convey land, to deliver a deed or other document, or
20 to perform any other specific act and the party fails to comply within the
21 time specified, the court may order the act to be done --- at the
22 disobedient party's expense --- by another person appointed by the
23 court. When done, the act has the same effect as if done by the party
24 . . .

25 **(d) Obtaining a Writ of Execution or Assistance.** On application by a party who
26 obtains a judgment or order for possession, the clerk must issue a writ of
27 execution or assistance.

28 **(e) Holding in Contempt.** The court may also hold the disobedient party in
29 contempt.

30 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 70(a), (d) and (e).

1 The court further notes that Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7064 makes
2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 64 applicable here to permit the use of state law
3 remedies for seizing property to secure satisfaction of a potential judgment.

4 In light of this array of potential remedies for Plaintiff to enforce its judgment
5 against Defendant, it is incumbent on Plaintiff to file an application and demonstrate
6 cause for using the United States Marshals Service to enforce its judgment in the first
7 instance in light of the other remedies it has to enforce its judgment, such as state law
8 remedies, including unlawful detainer proceedings, and civil contempt proceedings. At
9 this point, the court is not convinced whether resort to the use of the Marshals Service is
10 necessary at this time since it is unknown that Defendant will not voluntarily turn over the
11 Property to Plaintiff pursuant to the judgment in favor of Plaintiff or that state law
12 remedies or civil contempt proceedings would not result in prompt and effective
13 enforcement of the judgment.

14 Thus, in order to ensure an orderly enforcement of the Judgment for Turnover of
15 Real Property and for Declaratory Relief ("Judgment"),

- 16 1. The court declines to enter the form proposed by Plaintiff and will enter a modified
17 form of judgment to be separately entered.
- 18 2. A post-judgment status conference is set for March 1, 2016, at 1:30 p.m. to
19 address the procedure for turnover of the Property by Defendant to Plaintiff.
- 20 3. Plaintiff Shapphire Resources, through its counsel of record, Raymond H. Aver,
21 Law Offices of Raymond H. Aver, and Defendant Stanley Tambingon are ordered
22 to appear for the post-judgment status conference before the undersigned United
23 States Bankruptcy Judge on March 1, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.

24 //

25 //

26

27

28

1
2 4. If Defendant has turned over the Property to Plaintiff before the post-judgment
3 status conference on March 1, 2016 at 1:30 p.m., his appearance at the status
4 conference is not required.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 ###
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Date: January 29, 2016



Robert Kwan
United States Bankruptcy Judge